

FORM PTO-1449/A and 24 modified (PTO/SB/08) INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT		APPLICATION NO.: 09/666,813		ATTY. DOCKET NO.: M0459.70018US00	
		FILING DATE: September 21, 2000		CONFIRMATION NO.: 9117	
		APPLICANT: Kevin R. Crompton			
		GROUP ART UNIT: 1771		EXAMINER: Cheryl Ann Juska	
Sheet	1	of	2		

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials #	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication or Issue of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY
		Number	Kind Code		
CJ	70	2,308,429		Smith et al.	01-12-1943
CJ	71	3,999,940		Freeman	12-28-1976
CJ	72	4,309,179		Heuser et al.	01-05-1982
CJ	73	4,314,813		Masaki	02-09-1982
CJ	74	4,427,414		Orton	01-24-1984
CJ	75	4,963,422		Katz et al.	10-16-1990


FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials #	Cite No.	Foreign Patent Document			Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Translator (Y/N)
		Office/Country	Number	Kind Code			

OTHER ART -- NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials #	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translator (Y/N)
CJ	76	K. Ember, "Transferbedruckte Polyester-Polware," Textilveredlung, Vol. 12, No. 5, pages 207-209 (1977).	N
	77	Brenner et al., "Textile Flocking," Textilbeflockung. Date unknown but 1991 or earlier	
	78	U. Zorll, et al., "Influences of composition and polarity of an adhesive on its bonding properties," Flock, Vol. 14, No. 46, pp. 7-18 (1987).	
	79	H. Schubert, et al., "Colour Differences in Flock and Flocked Articles," Flock, No. 36, pp. 3-9 (1984)	
	80	L. Bayerwerk, "Adhesive Formulations for Flock Application," Farbenfabriken Bayer AG, pp. 2-14 (1964).	
	81	R. S. Gregorian, et al., "Flock Technology," American Association of Textile Chemists and Colorists, pp. 30-37 & Figs. 1-6 (AATCC Symposium, December 8-9, 1971).	
	82	Examination Report for a corresponding Turkish Patent Application, serial no. 2002/00721, issued July 14, 2006 and copy of claims as pending as of that date.	
	83	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC - Docket Report as of December 21, 2006	
	84	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC - Second Amended Complaint of April 12, 2004	
	85	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC - Plaintiff Intermark Fabric Corporation's Memorandum of Law in Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment of August 25, 2003	
CJ	86	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC - Microfibres Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Support of its Objection to Intermark Fabric Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment Under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 of October 14, 2003	

FORM PTO-1449/A and B (modified PTO/SB/08) INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT		APPLICATION NO.: 09/666,813	ATTY. DOCKET NO.: M0459.70018US00
		FILING DATE: September 21, 2000	CONFIRMATION NO.: 9117
		APPLICANT: Kevin R. Crompton	
		GROUP ART UNIT: 1771	EXAMINER: Cheryl Ann Juska
Sheet	Page 2 of 2		

		United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff Intermark Fabric Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment of July 21, 2003	
	88	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Microfibres Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Support of its Objection to Intermark Fabric Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment of August 11, 2003	
	89	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Memorandum of Law in Support of: Plaintiff, Intermark Fabric Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment Under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 of September 22, 2003	
	90	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Local Rule 56(A)1 Statement of September 22, 2003	
	91	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Plaintiff Intermark Fabric Corporation's Memorandum of Law in Reply to Defendant's Objection to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment Under §§102 and 103 of October 28, 2003	
	92	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Plaintiff Intermark Fabric Corporation's Answers to Defendant, Microfibres, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories of August 12, 2003	
	93	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Plaintiff Intermark Fabric Corporation's First Amended Answers to Defendant, Microfibres, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories of August 21, 2003	
	94	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Expert Report of Lawrence J. Goffney, Jr. of June 2, 2003	
	95	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Expert Rebuttal Report of David Brookstein, Sc.D. Matters Related to US Patent 5,981,021 of July 31, 2003	
	96	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Local Rule 56(A)1 Statement of July 21, 2003	
	97	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Microbres, Inc.'s Local Rule 9(c)(2) Statement of August 11, 2003	
	98	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Microfibres, Inc.'s Local Rule 56(a)2 Statement of October 14, 2003	
	99	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Plaintiff Intermark Fabric Corporation's Opposition to Defendant, Microfibres, Inc.'s Motion <i>in Limine</i> to Exclude Evidence Relating to "Best Mode" Defense of September 25, 2006	
↓	100	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Plaintiff Intermark Fabris Corporation's Notice of Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. § 282 of August 18, 2006	
CJ	101	United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (New Haven) bearing Docket No. 3:02-cv-01267-AVC – Joint Trial Memorandum of August 28, 2006	

EXAMINER: /Cheryl Juska/ (01/21/2007)	DATE CONSIDERED: 01/21/2007
--	------------------------------------

* EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

* a copy of this reference is not provided as it was previously cited by or submitted to the office in a prior application, Serial No. __, filed __, and relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 (continuation, continuation-in-part, and divisional applications).

[NOTE – No copies of U.S. patents, published U.S. patent applications, or pending, unpublished patent applications stored in the USPTO's Image File Wrapper (IFW) system, are included. See 37 CFR §1.98 and 1287OG163. Copies of all other patent(s), publication(s), unpublished, pending U.S. patent applications, or other information listed are provided as required by 37 CFR §1.98 unless 1) such copies were provided in an IDS in an earlier application that complies with 37 CFR §1.98, and 2) the earlier application is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. §120.]